UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/540,443	06/22/2005	Robin L Polt	920214.00005	920214.00005 1404	
26735 QUARLES &	7590 06/05/2007 BRADY LLP		EXAMINER		
33 E. MAIN ST, SUITE 900 P.O BOX 2113 MADISON, WI 53701-2113			GROSS, CHRISTOPHER M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			1639		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/540,443	POLT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Christopher M. Gross	1639					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Ma	<u>arch 2007</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	•						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3,4 and 7-13 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3,4,7-13 are subject to restriction and	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer and the correction is objected to by the Examiner and the correction of the content of the correction of the content of the correction	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)						

Art Unit: 1639

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Responsive to communications entered 3/8/2007 Claims 1,3,4,7-13 are pending.

## Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosure

This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 for the following reason(s): The text of the specification (e.g. see table 1) discloses nucleotide or amino acid sequences but the application does not contain, as a separate part of the disclosure, a copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has not been submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).

Applicant must provide:

- a. A computer readable form (CRF) copy of the "Sequence Listing".
- b. A statement that the content of the paper and computer readable copies are the same and, where applicable, include no new matter, as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e) or 1.821(f) or 1.821(g) or 1.825(b) or 1.825(d).

Applicant is required to comply with the corrections for the sequence listing as per above as part of a complete response to this official action.

See notice to comply.

## Election of Species

The following election of species has been necessitated by applicant's amendment to the claims entered 3/8/2007 therein introducing species (e.g. particular D-amino acid residues) previously not considered.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,443

Art Unit: 1639

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are indicated in **bold** as follows:

(From claims 1,3,4,7-13) Applicant is required to elect single specific species of **disaccharide glycosylated enkephalin** specified as to atom and bond: including message sequence; chirality of each amino acid residue; anomeric configuration of transport sequence linkage (i.e.  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$ ); disaccharide glycosidic linkage, sugar chirality & ring configuration (e.g. lactose is D-Galtopyraonsyl- $\beta$ (1  $\rightarrow$ 4)-D-Glucopyranose) Applicant is strongly encouraged to elect using a chemical drawing. Currently, claims 1,3,4,7-13 are generic.

According to the guidelines in Section (f)(i)(a) of Annex B of the PCT

Administrative Instructions, the special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2

shall be considered to be met when all the alternatives of a Markush-group are of similar nature. For chemical alternatives, such as the claimed sequences, the Markush group shall be regarded as being of similar nature when

- (A) all alternatives have a common property or activity and
- (B)(1) a common structure is preset, i.e., a significant structure is shared by all of the alternatives or
- (B)(2) in cases where the common structure cannot be the unifying criteria, all alternatives belong to an art recognized class of compounds in the art to which the invention pertains.

The species listed above are considered to be each separate inventions for the following reasons:

The genus set forth above include species which do not share a common core structure. For example, the message sequences confer specificity to different opioid receptors and the transport sequences do not necessarily share a common sugar moiety.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Art Unit: 1639

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher M. Gross whose telephone number is (571)272-4446. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. Douglas Schultz can be reached on 571 272-0763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher M Gross Examiner Art Unit 1639

cg

J. DOUGLAS SCHULTZ, PH.D.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10540443	POLT ET AL.					
Notice to Comply	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Christopher M. Gross	1639					
NOTICE TO COMPLY WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR PATENT APPLICATIONS CONTAINING							
NUCLEOTIDE SEQUENCE AND/OR AMINO ACID SEQUENCE DISCLOSURES							
Applicant must file the items indicated below within the time period set in the Office action to which the Notice is attached to avoid abandonment under 35 U.S.C. § 133 (extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a)).							
The nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosure for such a disclosure as set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.821 - 1.		not comply with t	he requirements				
1. This application clearly fails to comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.821-1.825. Applicant's attention is directed to the final rulemaking notice published at 55 FR 18230 (May 1, 1990), and 1114 OG 29 (May 15, 1990). If the effective filing date is on or after July 1, 1998, see the final rulemaking notice published at 63 FR 29620 (June 1, 1998) and 1211 OG 82 (June 23, 1998).							
2. This application does not contain, as a separate part of the disclosure on paper copy, a "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(c).							
3. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has not been submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).							
4. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has been submitted. However, the content of the computer readable form does not comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.822 and/or 1.823, as indicated on the attached copy of the marked -up "Raw Sequence Listing."							
5. The computer readable form that has been filed with this application has been found to be damaged and/or unreadable as indicated on the attached CRF Diskette Problem Report. A Substitute computer readable form must be submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.825(d).							
☐ 6. The paper copy of the "Sequence Listing" is not the as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).	ne same as the computer readabl	e from of the "Sec	quence Listing"				
7. Other:							
Applicant Must Provide:  An initial or substitute computer readable form (CRF) copy of the "Sequence Listing".							
	Listing", <b>as well as an amen</b>	dment specifi	cally				
	outer readable copies are the san 21(f) or 1.821(g) or 1.825(b) or 1.	· ne and, where ap 825(d).	plicable, include				
For questions regarding compliance to these requirements, please contact:							
For Rules Interpretation, call (571) 272-2510							
For CRF Submission Help, call (571) 272-2501/2583.							
Patentln Software Program Support Technical Assistance	703-287-0200						
To Purchase PatentIn Software							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PLEASE RETURN A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH YOUR REPLY